



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,759	10/31/2001	Robert A. Lieberman	IOS 00-236	4294
7590	12/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Lawrence S. Cohen, Attorney Suite 1220 10960 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90024			CROSLAND, DONNIE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2636	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,759	LIEBERMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DONNIE L. CROSLAND	2636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DONNIE L. CROSLAND.

(3) MR. LIEBERMAN (APPLICANT).

(2) LAWRENCE COHEN (ATTY).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-7, 9, AND 10.


Identification of prior art discussed: CEPHUS (5132968); EVERETT, Jr. (4857912); MARTINEZ (4117405).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that the prior art did not adequately suggest the claim language "a programmed algorithm to derive a qualitative determination". Claims 3 and 9 would be amended to positively recite this limitation. It was also agreed that a new figure 1A illustrating a flow chart of the sequence of events as defined by the algorithm would be submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 DONNIE L. CROSLAND
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required